

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
10 August 2006 (10.08.2006)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2006/083144 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:
B60R 13/08 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/KR2006/000429

(22) International Filing Date: 6 February 2006 (06.02.2006)

(25) Filing Language: Korean

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
10-2005-001 1238 7 February 2005 (07.02.2005) **KR**

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **KARAM TECH CO., LTD.** [KR/KR]; 60-12 Busong-ri, Jiksan-eup, Cheonan, Chungchongnam-do 330-815 (KR).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **SEO, Duck-Hyun** [KR/KR]; 1105, Samho Park Tower, 1122-10, Ingye-dong, Paldal-gu, Suwon, Gyungi-do 442-835 (KR).

(74) Agent: **CHOI, Ik-Ha**; 15th Floor, 1503, Garden Tower Bldg., 98-78 Unni-dong, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-795 (KR).

(81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, **BR**, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, **HR**, HU, **ID**, IL, IN, IS, **JP**, KE, KG, KM, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, LY, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

(84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: THE MEMBER FOR HEADLINER ON MOTOR VEHICLES

(57) Abstract: The present invention relates to a recyclable eco-friendly automobile headliner, and more particularly to an automobile headliner comprising an expanded composite sheet formed by integrally expansion molding a base material, comprising a sheath-core bicomponent fiber composed of a high-melting-point core component and a low-melting-point sheath component, with a reinforcing material composed of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber. The inventive automobile headliner shows the same thermal resistance and dimensional stability as those of the prior automobile headliner, and at the same time, has improved noise absorption and thermal insulation compared to the prior automobile headliner because it utilizes the functional thermoplastic organic fiber having excellent elasticity and expandability. In the inventive automobile headliner, a covering material is adhered to the substrate using the difference in melting points. Also, the inventive automobile headliner has useful effects in terms of improvement of the working environment and maintaining of human health due to the use of only eco-friendly recyclable materials in place of the prior thermosetting material and inorganic fiber.



WO 2006/083144 A1

Description

THE MEMBER FOR HEADLINER ON MOTOR VEHICLES

Technical Field

- [1] The present invention relates to a recyclable eco-friendly automobile headliner comprising an expanded composite sheet formed by integrally expansion molding a base material, comprising a sheath-core bicomponent fiber composed of a high-melting-point core component and a low-melting-point sheath component, with a reinforcing material composed of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber. The inventive automobile headliner shows the same thermal resistance, dimensional stability and impact resistance as those of the prior automobile headliner, has improved sound absorption and thermal insulation compared to the prior automobile headliner because it utilizes a functional thermoplastic organic fiber having excellent elasticity and expandability, has excellent impact absorption and elasticity because it uses an expanded base material, has excellent impact absorption and elastic properties because it uses the expanded substrate having a weight of 800-1600 g/m² and a thickness of 4-8 mm, comprises a covering material (nonwoven fabric or cloth) bonded to the substrate using the difference in melting points and without using any adhesive harmful to the human body, and has useful effects in terms of the improvement of the working environment and the maintenance of human health by using eco-friendly recyclable materials in place of the prior thermosetting resin and inorganic fiber.

Background Art

- [2] In general, an automobile headliner is attached to the interior ceiling of an automobile in order to absorb noise from the outside and to improve the thermal insulation of the automobile.
- [3] The prior automobile headliners have a three-layer structure consisting of a covering material composed of a nonwoven polyester fabrics, a PVC sheet, or the like, a cushion material composed of polypropylene or polyethylene foam, and a base material composed of resin felt, glass wool, paper board, etc.
- [4] One of these prior automobile headliners is a product comprising a combination of polyurethane foam and glass mat and a sandwich structure of polypropylene sheet/polypropylene foam sheet.
- [5] Automobile headliner manufacturers apply an irradiation-crosslinked PP foam sheet having tactile sensation, moldability and impact absorption for panel layers in order to develop and adopt products that satisfy an expansion ratio of 20, a thickness of 5 mm, a thermal resistance of more than 110 °C, rigidity, dimensional stability, etc. Particularly, an EVA hot melt film, which is inexpensive and allows various processes to

be easily conducted, was mainly applied in the adhesive lamination of each material, but a PP-based material, which has good interfacial adhesion, thermal resistance and process applicability and can maximize recyclability because it contains components similar to a main material, has also been used. There is also an effort to form a cushion layer having the same material as that of a panel layer using an irradiation-crosslinked polypropylene sheet so as to make recycling easy. Also, the material of a covering layer is selected in consideration of physical properties, such as flame retardancy and thermal resistance, and visual properties, such as gloss or color.

- [6] Meanwhile, important evaluation items for the automobile headliner are deformation and dimensional stability according to an environmental test. The environmental test is a test item to evaluate the deformation characteristics of the material by simulating various unfavorable conditions upon actual application of a product and is an important test method to estimate the actual applicability of a material by estimating the deformation of the material under continuous conditions, such as a high temperature above 85 °C, a low temperature below -30 °C, and a humidity of 95%.
- [7] However, polypropylene (PP), polyamide (nylon) and polyester (PET), which are generally used organic fibers, have limited usability as engineering materials due to a low glass transition temperature of 70-80 °C. In order to use these organic fibers as engineering materials by overcoming their low thermal resistance, a method of improving the thermal resistance of the organic fibers by reinforcing the organic fibers with carbon fiber, glass fiber or inorganic fiber, or by adding organic/inorganic filler and thermosetting resin is mainly used.
- [8] Also, an automobile headliner using polyurethane foam, a typically used material, has good sound absorption, but has problems in that it has poor weather resistance, leading to a reduction in durability, such as discoloration or foam cell crumbling upon long-term use, and is difficult to recycle due to the use of an adhesive to achieve bonding with other materials, such as the glass fiber used for strength reinforcement. Other problems include increased weight, caused by the lamination of a different material, and the generation of an offensive odor, dust, and noise during production thereof, caused by the use of a material containing hazardous components and the use of thermosetting resins.

Disclosure of Invention

Technical Problem

- [9] The present invention has been made to solve the above-mentioned problems, and it is an object of the present invention to provide an automobile headliner, which shows the same thermal resistance, dimensional stability and impact resistance as those of a prior automobile headliner by utilizing an expanded composite sheet having a single-

layer structure of lightweight material, has improved sound absorption and thermal insulation compared to the prior automobile headliner due to the use of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber having excellent elasticity and expandability, has excellent impact absorption and elasticity due to the use of an expanded substrate, comprises a covering material bonded to the substrate using the difference in melting points and without using any adhesive harmful to the human body, and has useful effects in terms of the improvement of the working environment and the maintenance of human health due to the use of eco-friendly recyclable materials in place of the prior thermosetting resin and inorganic fiber.

Technical Solution

- [10] To achieve the above object, the present invention provides an automobile headliner comprising an expanded composite sheet formed by integrally expansion molding a base material, comprising a sheath-core bicomponent fiber composed of a high-melting-point core component and a low-melting-point sheath component, with a reinforcing material composed of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber.
- [11] Preferably, the content of the base material is 40-70 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 30-60 wt%.
- [12] More preferably, the content of the base material is 40-60 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 40-60 wt%.
- [13] Still more preferably, the content of the base material is 50-60 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 40-50 wt%.
- [14] Preferably, the weight ratio of the base material : the reinforcing material is 50:50 or 60:40.
- [15] Preferably, the sheath/core bicomponent fiber is a bicomponent fiber produced using a mixture of 30-50 vol% of the sheath component and 50-70 vol% of the core component, having a melting point different from that of the sheath component, and is at least one selected from the group consisting of a bicomponent fiber composed of low-melting-point polyester copolymer (CoPET) as the sheath component and polyester (PET) as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of polyester glycol (PETG) as the sheath component and polyester (PET) as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) as the sheath component and polyester (PET) as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) as the sheath component and polypropylene (PP) as the core component, and a bicomponent fiber composed of polypropylene (PP) as the sheath component and polyester (PET) as the core material.
- [16] More preferably, the high melting point of the core component is 240-270 °C and the low melting point of the sheath component is 110-180 °C, such that only the sheath

- component melts and bonds with the reinforcing material at high temperature under pressure.
- [17] More preferably, the functional thermoplastic organic fiber forming the reinforcing material is at least one selected from the group consisting of conjugated polyester fiber, conjugated hollow polyester fiber, hollow polyester fiber, double-crimped polyester fiber, nylon 6 and nylon 66.
- [18] More preferably, the automobile headliner is a recyclable eco-friendly material.
- [19] Hereinafter, the present invention will be described in detail.
- [20] The present invention provides an automobile headliner comprising an expanded composite sheet produced by integrally expansion molding a base material, composed of a sheath/core bicomponent fiber, with a reinforcing material composed of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber. More specifically, the base material comprises a sheath/core bicomponent fiber that is produced using a mixture of 30-50 vol% sheath component and 50-70 vol% core component, having a melting point different from that of the sheath component, and that has different melting points and characteristics according to various composition ratios of one or more thermoplastic fibers (PE, PP, PET, CoPET, PA, etc.). Preferably, the sheath/core bicomponent fiber is selected from the group consisting of a bicomponent fiber composed of low-melting-point polyester copolymer as the sheath component and polyester as the core component (hereinafter, referred to as "CoPET/PET"), a composite component composed of polyester glycol as the sheath component and polyester as the core component (hereinafter, referred to as "PETG/PET"), a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene as the sheath component and polyester as the core component (hereinafter, referred to as "HDPE/PET"), a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene as the sheath component and polypropylene as the core component (hereinafter, referred to as "HDPE/PP"), and a bicomponent fiber composed of polypropylene as the sheath component and polyester as the core material (hereinafter, referred to as "HDPE/PP"). The functional thermoplastic organic fiber forming the reinforcing material is preferably at least one selected from the group consisting of conjugated polyester (PET) fiber, conjugated hollow polyester (PET) fiber, hollow polyester fiber, double-crimped polyester (PET) fiber, nylon 6, and nylon 66.
- [21] In the inventive automobile headliner, the content of the base material is 40-70 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 30-60 wt%. Preferably, the content of the base material is 40-60 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 40-60 wt%. More preferably, the content of the base material is 50-60 wt%, and the content of the reinforcing material is 40-50 wt%.
- [22] More preferably, the weight ratio of the base material to the reinforcing material is 50:50 or 60:40.

- [23] In the present invention, the base material is composed of a generally used thermoplastic fiber having a melting point lower than 180 °C or a thermoplastic organic fiber having high crystal orientation, that is, a sheath/core bicomponent fiber produced by spinning a mixture of a sheath component and 60-80% core component, having a melting point different from that of the sheath component, in which the melting point of the sheath component is not higher than 180 °C, and the melting point of the core component is not lower than 240 °C, which is higher than that of the sheath component. Preferably, the melting point of the sheath component is 110-180 °C, and the melting point of the core component is 240-270 °C. Herein, the sheath/core bicomponent fiber is a fiber prepared such that the content of the sheath component serving as a binder is 30-50 vol% and the content of the core component is 50-70 vol%, and preferably, the volume ratio of the core component: the sheath component is 70/30, 60/40 or 50/50 v/v%. Accordingly, due to the application of a temperature of 180-230 °C upon the manufacture of the composite sheet, only the sheath component is slowly melted to form a three-dimensional network structure by bonding with the reinforcing fiber, and the core component has a characteristic of being capable of preventing degradation in physical properties, due to the partial heat shielding effect of the sheath component, so that it can serve as a reinforcing fiber.
- [24] In the present invention, the organic fiber used as the reinforcing material is at least one selected from the group consisting of conjugated polyester fiber, conjugated hollow polyester fiber, hollow polyester fiber, double-crimped polyester fiber, nylon 6 and nylon 66.
- [25] The conjugated polyester (PET) fiber is a fiber having a self-crimping property for bulkiness and expandability, the fiber being prepared by combining two kinds of thermoplastic components having different viscosities in a side-by-side manner, spinning the combined resins and elongating the spun material, such that it is self-crimped by the difference in viscosity between the two components.
- [26] The conjugate hollow PET fiber and the hollow PET fiber are self-crimping and hollow so as to improve thermal insulation, sound absorption, impact resistance, expandability and bulkiness. Herein, the hollow ratio is 10-50% based on the total cross-sectional area. Particularly, since the conjugated hollow PET fiber has a self-crimping property caused by thermal elongation, it has excellent resistance to external heat applied upon the manufacturing of the composite sheet and can prevent a change in physical properties thereof at a processing temperature of 180-230 °C due to heat dispersion effect that owes to its hollowness. In other words, only the outer layer, corresponding to 30-50 vol% of the sheath/core fiber used as the base material, functions as an adhesive that holds the reinforcing fiber, and the inner layer forms a three-dimensional network structure serving as the reinforcing fiber that imparts shape

stability.

- [27] Also, the double-crimpled PET fiber has a size of 60-80 mm and is produced by double crimpling polyester fiber so as to make the product bulky.
- [28] In the present invention, only thermoplastic fibers are used, in order to ensure light weight and recyclability. Also, the thermoplastic composite sheet has a bulky porous network structure of 4-8 mm and thus is formed in a lightweight simple structure. Also, because the sheath component of the sheath/core bicomponent fiber used as the base material has a low melting point, the inventive automobile headliner can be adhered without the use of any adhesive harmful to the human body, as has been used in the prior art, and thus is eco-friendly.
- [29] In the present invention, the base material fiber and the reinforcing material fiber, which are in a compressed state, are split, uniformly mixed and passed through a cylindrical card machine to form thin fibrous webs which are bonded by needle punching so as to manufacture nonwoven fabric.
- [30] The nonwoven fabric is molded in a mold for automobile headliners. Alternatively, this nonwoven fabric is manufactured into a composite sheet in a continuous composite sheet making apparatus constructed to conduct serial processes of preheating, thermal bonding, pressing, cooling, expansion, and cutting, and the manufactured composite sheet is molded in a mold.
- [31] More specifically, staple fibers (base material fiber and reinforcing fiber; 50-80 mm) given with 8-12 crimps/inch are split by the fiber splitting cylinder of a fiber splitting machine, and the split fibers are dispersed and mixed in a dispersing machine. The mixed fibers are passed through a webber machine for improving physical properties thereof (i.e., tensile strength or impact strength) in order to manufacture a random uniaxial composite fiber sheet. The mixed fibers are passed through a cylindrical card machine to form a thin fibrous web which is then subjected to a doubling step so as to form a multilayer web. The multilayer web is transported by a conveyor belt via a pressing roller to a needle punching machine so as to form nonwoven fabric.
- [32] The composite nonwoven fabric manufactured by needling punching is molded directly in a mold for automobile headliners or transported to a continuous composite sheet making apparatus. The continuous composite sheet making apparatus comprises first and second preheating zones for preheating and thermal bonding, first, second and third heating/pressing zones, an expansion zone, a cooling zone and a cutting section. The nonwoven fabric is preheated through the first and second preheating zone, and melted and pressed through the pressing rollers of the heating/pressing zones so as to form a composite sheet having a given thickness. The composite sheet is expanded and cooled in the expansion/cooling zones and then cut. The cut sheet is molded in a mold

for automobile headliners, thus manufacturing the automobile headliner according to the present invention.

Advantageous Effects

- [33] As described above, the present invention provides an automobile headliner that shows the same thermal resistance, impact resistance, sound absorption and thermal insulation as those of the prior automobile headliner by comprising the expanded composite sheet composed of a multilayer structure of low-density lightweight material, and at the same time, has improved noise absorption, thermal insulation, etc., compared to the prior automobile headliner, due to the use of a novel composition ratio.
- [34] Also, the inventive automobile headliner has improved sound absorption and thermal insulation compared to the prior automobile headliner by utilizing the functional thermoplastic organic fiber having excellent elasticity and expandability. Also, the inventive automobile headliner has excellent impact absorption and elasticity due to the use of the expanded base material and comprises the substrate bonded to a covering material using the difference in melting points and without using any separate adhesive harmful to the human body. Also, the inventive automobile headliner has useful effects in terms of improvement of the working environment and the maintenance of human health due to the use of eco-friendly recyclable materials in place of the prior thermosetting material and inorganic fiber.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

- [35] Hereinafter, the present invention will be described in further detail with reference to examples.
- [36] Examples 1 to 4
- [37] A sheath/core bicomponent fiber (CoPET/PET fiber; Huvis Corp.) as a base material and a conjugate hollow PET fiber (CH-PET; Huvis Corp.) as a reinforcing material were uniformly mixed with each other such that the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 70/30 (Example 1), 60/40 (Example 2), 50/50 (Example 3) or 40/60 (Example 4).
- [38] The mixed fibers were passed through a cylindrical card machine to form thin fibrous webs which were then bonded by needle punching so as to manufacture nonwoven fabrics. The nonwoven fabrics were molded directly in a mold for automobile headliners. Alternatively, the nonwoven fabrics were manufactured into a composite sheet in a continuous composite sheet making apparatus constructed to conduct serial processes of preheating, thermal bonding, pressing, cooling, expansion and cutting, and the manufactured composite sheet was molded in a mold so as to manufacture an automobile headliner having a weight of 1200 g/m² and a thickness of

6 mm. The above-manufactured automobile headliner was tested for performance in the following manner:

[39] Test conditions

[40] According to the OHS-005 test method, test samples were taken from the automobile headliner in the machine direction (MD) and cross machine direction (CMD), and the sagging of the test sample was recorded in mm. In acceptable standards for sagging, it is known that less than 10 mm is generally the desired value. The test allows the examination of dimensional stability related with the thermal resistance, low-temperature resistance and moisture resistance of the automobile headliner.

[41] Comparative Examples 1 to 3

[42] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 1), 80/20 (Comparative Example 2) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 3). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[43] The results are shown in Table 1 below together with the results of Examples 1 to 4.

[44] Table 1

Example/Comparative Example Nos.	Ratio of base material (CoPET/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 1	90/10	31.5	41.2
Comparative Example 2	80/20	19.5	27.4
Example 1	70/30	9.1	10.0
Example 2	60/40	5.5	8.8
Example 3	50/50	7.7	9.3
Example 4	40/60	8.3	10.4
Comparative Example 3	30/70	23.1	33.5

[45] As can be seen in Table 1, when the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) in the inventive automobile

headliner was 70/30 (Example 1), 60/40 (Example 2), 50/50 (Example 3) or 40/60 (Example 4), the sagging was found to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 1), 80/20 (Comparative Example 2) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 3), the sagging was shown to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[46] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case of using CoPET as a base material and CH-PET as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET is 70/30 (Example 1), 60/40 (Example 2), 50/50 (Example 3) or 40/60 (Example 4), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[47] Examples 5 to 8

[48] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (CoPET/PET fiber; Huvis corp.) was used as the base material, a mixture of conjugate hollow PET (CH-PET) fiber and double-crimped PET fiber (DC-PET fiber) was used as the reinforcing material, and the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 60/35/5 (Example 5), 55/35/10 (Example 6), 50/40/10 (Example 7) or 50/20/30 (Example 8). A test sample was prepared in the same manner in Examples 1-4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging.

[49] Comparative Examples 4 to 6

[50] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 5 to 8, except that the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 4), 45/45/10 (Comparative Example 5) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 6). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[51] The results are shown in Table 2 below together with the results of Examples 5 to 8.

[52] Table 2

Example/Comparative Example Nos.	Ratio of base material (CoPET/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET/DC-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 4	65/25/10	23.4	39.5
Example 5	60/35/5	9.7	10.5
Example 6	55/35/10	8.3	10.0

Example 7	50/40/10	7.6	9.4
Example 8	50/20/30	8.9	9.7
Comparative Example 5	45/45/10	14.6	19.6
Comparative Example 6	40/50/10	28.2	34.3

[53] As can be seen in Table 1, when the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 60/35/5 (Example 5), 55/35/10 (Example 6), 50/40/10 (Example 7) or 50/20/30 (Example 8), the sagging was found to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 4), 45/45/10 (Comparative Example 5) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 6), the sagging was found to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[54] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case of using CoPET/PET as a base material and CH-PET and DC-PET as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET is 60/35/5 (Example 5), 55/35/10 (Example 6), 50/40/10 (Example 7) or 50/20/30 (Example 8), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[55] Examples 9 to 12

[56] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (PP/PET fiber; Huvis Corp.) was used as a base material, a conjugate hollow fiber (CH-PET fiber; Huvis Corp.) was used as a reinforcing material, and the weight ratio of the base material (PP/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 70/30 (Example 9), 60/40 (Example 10), 50/50 (Example 11) or 40/60 (Example 12). A test sample was prepared in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging.

[57] Comparative Examples 7 to 9

[58] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 9 to 12, except that the weight ratio of the base material (PP/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 7), 80/20 (Comparative Example 8) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 9). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[59] The results are shown in Table 3 below together with the results of Examples 9 to 12.

[60] Table 3

Example/Comparative Example Nos.	Ratio of base material (PP/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 7	90/10	31.3	46.3
Comparative Example 8	80/20	17.7	26.7
Example 9	70/30	10.3	17.1
Example 10	60/40	5.9	8.1
Example 11	50/50	7.4	9.3
Example 12	40/60	8.3	10.0
Comparative Example 9	30/70	19.8	24.1

[61] As can be seen in Table 3, when the weight ratio of the base material (PP/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) in the inventive automobile headliner was 60/40 (Example 10), 50/50 (Example 11) or 40/60 (Example 12), the sagging was found to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of the base material (PP/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 7), 80/20 (Comparative Example 8) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 9), the sagging was found to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[62] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case of using PP/PET as a base material and CH-PET as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of PP/PET : CH-PET is 60/40 (Example 10), 50/50 (Example 11) or 40/60 (Example 12), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[63] Examples 13 to 16

[64] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (PP/PET fiber; Huvis corp.) was used as the base material, a mixture of conjugate hollow PET (CH-PET) fiber and double-crimped PET fiber (DC-PET) was used as the reinforcing material, and the weight ratio of PP/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 60/35/5 (Example 13), 55/35/10 (Example 14), 50/40/10 (Example 15) or 50/20/30 (Example 16). A test sample was prepared in the same manner in Examples 1-4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging.

[65] Comparative Examples 10 to 12

[66] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 13 to 16, except that the weight ratio of PP/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 10), 45/45/10 (Comparative Example 11) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 12). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[67] The results are shown in Table 4 below together with the results of Examples 13 to 16.

[68] Table 4

Example/Comparative Example Nos.	Ratio of base material(PP/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET/DC-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 10	65/25/10	17.2	28.4
Example 13	60/35/5	7.7	9.8
Example 14	55/35/10	6.5	8.7
Example 15	50/40/10	8.5	10.0
Example 16	50/20/30	13.5	17.4
Comparative Example 11	45/45/10	17.4	20.2
Comparative Example 12	40/50/10	22.7	27.8

[69]

[70] As can be seen in Table 4, when the weight ratio of PP/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 60/35/5 (Example 13), 55/35/10 (Example 14) or 50/40/10 (Example 15), the sagging was found to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of CoPET/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 10) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 12), the sagging was found to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[71] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case where PP/PET is used as a base material and a mixture of CH-PET and DC-PET is used as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of the base material (PP/PET) : the reinforcing material (CH-PET/DC-PET) is 60/35/5 (Example 13), 55/35/10 (Example 14) or 50/40/10 (Example 15), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[72] Examples 17 to 20

[73] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to

4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (HDPE/PET fiber; Huvis Corp.) was used as a base material, a conjugate hollow fiber (CH-PET; Huvis Corp.) was used as a reinforcing material, and the weight ratio of the base material (HDPE/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 70/30 (Example 17), 60/40 (Example 18), 50/50 (Example 19) or 40/60 (Example 20). A test sample was prepared in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging.

[74] Comparative Examples 13 to 15

[75] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 17 to 20, except that the weight ratio of the base material (HDPE/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 13), 80/20 (Comparative Example 14) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 15). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[76] The results are shown in Table 5 below together with the results of Examples 17 to 20.

[77] Table 5

Example/Comparative Example Nos.	Ratio of (HDPE/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 13	90/10	54.6	72.3
Comparative Example 14	80/20	33.6	50.6
Example 17	70/30	17.8	23.1
Example 18	60/40	8.3	9.6
Example 19	50/50	9.8	11.5
Example 20	40/60	15.5	23.1
Comparative Example 15	30/70	33.4	49.5

[78] As can be seen in Table 5, when the weight ratio of the base material (HDPE/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) in the inventive automobile headliner was 60/40 (Example 18), and 50/50 (Example 19), the sagging was shown to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of the base material (HDPE/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 90/10 (Comparative Example 13), 80/20 (Comparative Example 14) or 30/70 (Comparative Example 15), the sagging was shown to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[79] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case of using HDPE/PET as a base material and CH-PET as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET is 60/40 (Example 18) or 50/50 (Example 19), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[80] Examples 21 to 24

[81] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (HDPE/PET fiber; Huvis corp.) was used as the base material, a mixture of conjugate hollow PET (CH-PET) fiber and double-crimped PET fiber (DC-PET) was used as the reinforcing material, and the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 60/35/5 (Example 21), 55/35/10 (Example 22), 50/40/10 (Example 23) or 50/20/30 (Example 24). A test sample was prepared in the same manner in Examples 1-4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging.

[82] Comparative Examples 16 to 18

[83] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 21 to 24, except that the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 16), 45/45/10 (Comparative Example 17) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 18). After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[84] The results are shown in Table 6 below together with the results of Examples 21 to 26.

[85] Table 6

Example/Comparative Example Nos	Ratio of base material (HDPE/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET/DC-PET)	Sag (mm)	
		Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)
Comparative Example 16	65/25/10	23.1	39.1
Example 21	60/35/5	8.5	9.2
Example 22	55/35/10	8.9	10.0
Example 23	50/40/10	7.6	8.8
Example 24	50/20/30	10.1	14.5
Comparative Example 17	45/45/10	17.2	23.9
Comparative Example 18	40/50/10	26.3	38.2

[86] As can be seen in Table 6, when the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET : DC-

PET was 60/35/5 (Example 21), 55/35/10 (Example 22) or 50/40/10 (Example 23), the sagging was shown to be not greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard, whereas, when the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET was 65/25/10 (Comparative Example 16), 45/45/10 (Comparative Example 17) or 40/50/10 (Comparative Example 18), the sagging was shown to be greater than 10 mm, which is known as the generally acceptable standard.

[87] Accordingly, it could be found that, in the case where HDPE/PET is used as a base material and a mixture of CH-PET and DC-PET is used as a reinforcing material, when the weight ratio of HDPE/PET : CH-PET : DC-PET is 60/35/5 (Example 21), 55/35/10 (Example 22) or 50/40/10 (Example 23), it will show good results in the environmental test.

[88] Examples 25 to 28

[89] An automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, except that a sheath/core bicomponent fiber (CoPET/PET fiber; Huvis Corp.) was used as a base material, a conjugate hollow fiber (CH-PET; Huvis Corp.) was used as a reinforcing material, the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 70/30 (Example 25), 60/40 (Example 26), 50/50 (Example 27) or 40/60 (Example 28), and a polyester (PET) scrim was attached to both sides of the prepared substrate. A test sample was prepared in the same manner as in Examples 1 to 4, and after 24 hours, measured for sagging. The weight of the PET scrim was 45 g/cm².

[90] Comparative Examples 19 to 22

[91] To confirm the specificity (stability according to environmental test) of the inventive automobile headliner, an automobile headliner was manufactured in the same manner as in Examples 25 to 28, except that the weight ratio of the base material (CoPET/PET): the reinforcing material (CH-PET) was 70/30 (Comparative Example 19), 60/40 (Comparative Example 20), 50/50 (Comparative Example 21) or 40/60 (Comparative Example 15), and the polyester scrim was not attached to both sides of the resulting substrate. After 24 hours, the sample was measured for sagging.

[92] The results are shown in Table 7 below together with the results of Examples 25 to 28.

[93] Table 7

Example Nos.	Ration of base material (CoPET/PET)/reinforcing material (CH-PET)	PET Scrim	Sag (mm)	
			Machine direction (MD)	Cross machine direction (AMD)

Comparative Example 19	70:30	without	9.1	10.0
Example 25	70:30	with	8.5	9.9
Comparative Example 20	60:40	without	5.5	8.8
Example 26	60:40	with	4.3	5.8
Comparative Example 21	50:50	without	7.7	9.3
Example 27	50:50	with	5.7	8.0
Comparative Example 22	40:60	without	8.3	10.4
Example 28	40:60	with	7.8	9.7

[94] As can be seen in Table 7, attaching the polyester scrim to both sides of the headliner not only improves the appearance, sound absorption and mechanical properties of the product, but also reduces sagging in the environmental test.

[95] The present invention is not limited only to the above Examples. Even if PETG/PET, HDPE/PP or the like, which have the same properties as those of said base materials, are used, the same effect can be obtained. Also, even when conjugate PET, hollow PET or polyamide fiber, such as nylon 6 or nylon 66 is used in place of the conjugate hollow PET or double-crimped PET as the reinforcing material as described above, the same effect can be obtained.

[96]

[97]

Claims

- [1] An automobile headliner comprising an expanded composite sheet formed by integrally expansion molding a base material, comprising a sheath-core bi-component fiber composed of a high-melting-point core component and a low-melting-point sheath component, with a reinforcing material composed of a functional thermoplastic organic fiber.
- [2] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein content of the base material is 40-70 wt%, and content of the reinforcing material is 30-60 wt%.
- [3] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein content of the base material is 40-60 wt%, and content of the reinforcing material is 40-60 wt%.
- [4] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein content of the base material is 50-60 wt%, and content of the reinforcing material is 40-50 wt%.
- [5] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein a weight ratio of the base material : the reinforcing material is 50:50 or 60:40.
- [6] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein a polyester scrim is adhered to both sides of the automobile headliner.
- [7] The automobile headliner of any one of Claims 1 to 5, wherein the sheath-core bicomponent fiber is a bicomponent fiber produced by spinning a mixture of 30-50 vol% of the sheath component and 50-70 vol% of the core component, having a melting point different from that of the sheath component, and is at least one selected from the group consisting of a bicomponent fiber composed of low-melting-point polyester copolymer as the sheath component and polyester as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of polyester glycol as the sheath component and polyester as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene as the sheath component and polyester as the core component, a bicomponent fiber composed of high-density polyethylene as the sheath component and polypropylene as the core component, and a bicomponent fiber composed of polypropylene as the sheath component and polyester as the core component.
- [8] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein the melting point of the core component is 240-270 °C and the melting point of the sheath component is 110-180 °C, such that only the sheath component melts and bonds with the reinforcing material at high temperature under pressure.
- [9] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein the functional thermoplastic organic fiber forming the reinforcing material is at least one selected from the group consisting of conjugated polyester fiber, conjugated hollow polyester fiber, hollow polyester fiber, double-crimped polyester fiber, nylon 6 and nylon 66.

- [10] The automobile headliner of Claim 1, wherein the automobile headliner is a recyclable eco-friendly material.

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**B60R 13/08(2006.01)i**

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

B60R 13/08

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Korean Patents and applications for inventions since 1975

Korean Utility models and applications for Utility models since 1975

Japanese Utility models and applications for Utility models since 1975

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

New Patents and Utility Models Search System(KIPO)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
A	KR 10-2004-88434A (Lee Moun young) 16 OCTOBER 2004 Entire document	1-10
A	KR 10-2003-80381A(KARAM TECH CO , LTD) 17 OCTOBER 2003 Entire document	1-10
A	KR 20-1998-59686U (Kum Beong Chan) 26 OCTOBER 1998 Entire document	1-10
A	JP 8-187805A(KANEBO LTD) 23 JULY 1996 Entire document	1-10

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C☒ See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document-member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 MAY 2006 (25 05 2006)

Date of mailing of the international search report

25 MAY 2006 (25.05.2006)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR

Korean Intellectual Property Office
920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701,
Republic of Korea

Facsimile No 82-42-472-7140

Authorized officer

KANG, Jung Seok

Telephone No 82-42-481-5450



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/KR2006/000429

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
KR 10-2004-8843 A	2004. 10. 16	NONE	
KR 10-2003-8038 1A	2003. 10. 17	NONE	
KR 20- 1998-59686U	1998. 10.26	NONE	
JP 08- 187805A	1996.07.23	DE19600979A1	1996.07.18
		GB2297059A	1996.07.24
		US5677027A	1997.10.14